PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



(51) International Patent Classification 5:		11) International Publication Number: WO 90/05182
C12N 9/96	A1	43) International Publication Date: 17 May 1990 (17.05.90)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/GE (22) International Filing Date: 13 November 1989 (30) Priority data: 8826429.6 11 November 1988 (11.1) (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US) FIELD BIOTECHNOLOGY LTD. [GB/GI field, Beds MK43 0AL (GB). (72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): GIBSON, David [GB/GB]; 3 Temple Avenue, Rothwe LS26 0JW (GB). WOODWARD, John, Rob GB]; Prospect House, 46 Terry Road, Low Mc ford BD12 0LL (GB). (74) Agent: BROWNE, R., F.; Urquhart-Dykes & Lo House, Merrion Way, Leeds LS2 8PA (GB).	(13.11.1 1.88) (CRA B); Cra Timothell, Lee ert [Goor, Bra	pean patent), CH (European patent), DE (European patent), FR (European patent), GB (European patent), IT (European patent), JP, LU (European patent), NL (European patent), SE (European patent), US. Published With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.
(54) Title: ENZYME STABILISATION (57) Abstract A method of stabilising enzymes or other protei		

lic polyol and a cationic polyelectrolyte.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

. AT	Austria .	ES	Spain	MG	Madagascar
AU	Australia	FT	Finland	ML	Mali
88	Barbados	FR	France .	MIR	Mauritania
. BE	Belgium	GA	Gabon	MW	Malewi
BF	Burkina Fasso	GB	United Kingdom	NI.	Netherlands
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BJ	Benin	П	Italy	RO	Romania
BR	Brazil	JP.	Japan	SO	Sudan
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic	SE	Sweden
Œ	Central African Republic		of Korea	SN	Senegal
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SÜ	Soviet Union
CH	Switzerland	u	Liechtenstein	110	Chad
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	TG	Togo
Œ	Germany, Federal Republic of	W	Luxembourg	US	United States of Ameri
n.	Denmark	MC	Manage		

1

ENZYME STABILISATION

This invention relates to stabilisation of proteins. particularly but not exclusively of enzymes in the dry state.

Few enzymes are inherently stable in solution. Many have a tendency to become denatured when held in solution. Various workers have attempted to stabilise enzymes either by adding compounds such as sugars or glycerol to solutions of them or by freeze drying. These methods often cause a loss of activity. Alternative methods of stabilisation have involved drying of enzymes with stabilisers in a presence of a solid support such as cellulose fibre or polyacrylamide. US 4451569 disclosed stabilisation of glutathione peroxidase by freezing the enzyme with one of a number of sugars including arabinose, glucose. xylitol and sorbitol. Freeze drying is expensive to operate on a large scale and often results in denaturation.

PCT/GB86/00396 discloses stabilisation of proteins by use of the disaccharide trehalose.

According to a first aspect of the present invention a method of protecting proteins against denaturation on drying comprises mixing an aqueous solution of the protein with a soluble cationic polyelectrolyte and a cyclic polyol, and removing water from the solution.

Stabilisation in accordance with this invention enhances the activity of freshly dried enzymes and other proteins. The stability upon storage is also enhanced.

The proteins may include enzymes, antibodies, antigens, serum complement, vaccine components and bioactive peptides.

Drying of proteins and especially enzymes is important for many applications, for example use in diagnostic or analytical aids such as test strips which may be stored for prolonged periods before use. Transportation of enzymes or other proteins in solution is inconvenient and expensive.

Although freeze drying may be employed, the present invention facilitates use of the vacuum drying and air drying

without denaturation. Vacuum drying and air drying milder processes and are much cheaper to operate.

The cyclic polyol may incoporate one or more alicyclic rings and may have at least one side chain. Compounds having 5 to 10 hydroxyl groups may be preferred. Non-reducing polyols are preferred. Di and trisaccharides are particularly efficaceous but other cyclic polyols, for example inositol may also be used. The polyol may be chosen to suit both the enzyme or other protein and also the polyelectrolyte in question. lactose, maltose and sucrose are especially preferred conjuction with DEAE-dextran, lactitol having been found to be most suitable for many applications. Sorbitol is suitable for use with cholesterol oxidase, cholesterol esterase and enzymes. Cellobiose may also be used. The amount of polyol may lie in the preferred range of 1 to 20%, more preferably 2 to 10%, most preferably 5 to 10%.

The cationic polyelectrolyte is preferably a polymer with cationic groups distributed along the molecular chain. The cationic groups, which are preferably quaternary ammonium derived functions, may be disposed in side groups pendent from the chain or may be incorporated in it. Natural or artificial polymers may be employed. Natural polymers such as polysaccharides are preferred since many artificial polymers contain residual traces of the inorganic polymerisation catalyst.

Diethylaminoethyl dextran (DEAE-dextran) and chitosan are preferred although polyethyleneimine is also suitable. Polysaccharides with MW 5000 to 500 000, preferably 5000 to 20 000, more preferably 5000 to 10 000 may be employed. An amount of 0.1 to 10% is preferred, especially 0.5 to 2%.

The pH at which enzymes are dried in accordance with this invention may be important to optimise retention of activity both upon drying and after subsequent storage. The optimum pH for a particular enzyme may be determined by simple experimentation.

Alcohol oxidase has been formed to retain activity between pH 7 and 8, preferably at pH 7.8.

Cholesterol oxidase, dependent on the source, dries best at

pH 5 or 9.

Uricase may be dried at pH 9.

Cholesterol esterase dependent on source may be dried at pH 7 or 9.

Drying is preferably performed in the presence of a wetting agent. Temperatures between 4° and 50° , especially 25° to 35° are preferred.

According to a second aspect of the present invention there is provided a dried product containing a protein, cyclic polyol and cationic polyelectrolyte.

The dried product may be a free running powder or may comprise part of a test strip or other analytical or diagnostic apparatus.

The present invention is now described by means of example but not in any limitative sense.

Experimental Procedures

Percentages used in the specification are by weight unless indicated otherwise.

All the stabilisation systems utilise buffers to maintain stable pH conditions eg.

Buffer solutions containing $Na_2HPO_4.2H_2O$ (10.855g) and $NaH_2PO_4.2H_2O$ (6.084g) were dissolved in 1.0 litre distilled water to give a solution of pH 7.0 at a concentration of 100 millimoles per litre.

An alternative buffer is MOPS - (4-Morpholine Propane Sulphuric Acid) -52.25g/2.5l distilled water pH to 7.87 with 4.0M.NaOH.

A wetting agent may be used dependent on whether or not the enzyme system is being stabilised in a polystyrene cuvette. A suitable wetting agent is protein hydrolysate from gelatine termed Byco A. These are made up to 1% w/v in phosphate buffer, $100 \text{ mmol.} 1^{-1}$, pH 7.0 as needed.

Enzyme solutions were made up freshly before use. Stock solutions of enzymes in ammonium sulphate solution were dialysed

exhaustively against buffer eg $100 \text{ mmol.} 1^{-1}$ phosphate buffer pH 7.0 to remove all salts.

Stock enzyme concentrations may be from 10 to 1000 units of activity per millilitre of solution. In terms of protein concentration this is between 0.5 to 200 $\rm mg\,cm^{-3}$. Typically the final protein concentration was 1.0 $\rm mg\,cm^{-3}$.

Soluble polyelectrolytes, polyols , enzyme, buffer salts and wetting agent (if used) were mixed at constant temperature and dried in a vacuum oven over dessicant eg. silica gel, 0.1 mm/Hg, 30°C for 4-10 hr.

The oxidase enzymes studied may be assayed by colorimetric detection of the hydrogen peroxide produced by action of the enzyme. Peroxidase acts on the hydrogen peroxide produced in the presence of aromatic alcohols or amines and the heterocyclic compound 4-aminoantipyrine to give quinoneimine dyes. Other standard assays systems may be employed eg u.v. spectrometry.

The following systems were employed:

System 1

Phenol sulphonic acid	25 mmol.1 ⁻¹
4-aminoantipyrine	$0.4 \text{ mmol.} 1^{-1}$
Peroxidase	1000 unit/1

The resultant dye was measured at 500nm.

System 2

3.5-dichloro 2-hydroxybenzene
sulphonic acid 10 mmol.l⁻¹
4-aminoantipyrine 0.4 mmol.l⁻¹
Peroxidase 1000 units/1

The resultant dye was measured at 520 nm.

Standard temperature eg. 25°C and incubation times eg. 5

minutes were used. Reagent blanks contained all components except substrate. Dry preparations in cuvettes were reconstituted with Systems 1. or 2. directly.

Dry powdered preparations were reconstituted with phosphate buffer and suitable aliquots were added to System 1. or 2.

For stability trials the storage temperature was 37°C, with samples being removed periodically to check for residual activity of the enzyme. This procedure was standard for all enzymes tested.

Soluble Polyelectrolyte and Sugar Alcohol or Saccharide

Soluble Polyelectrolyte

Soluble polyelectrolyte was dissolved in distilled water a concentration up to 20% w/v, usually to 10% w/v. Sugar alcohol or saccharide was dissolved in distilled water up to a concentration of 40% w/v, usually to 20% w/v. These solutions were used within 4 weeks of preparation, being stored in the cold at 4° C.

Example 1

Solution 1	DEAE-Dextran 10	0%	100ul
	Lactitol 20	0%	500u l
	Byco A	1%	100ul
Solution 2	Alcohol oxidase	e 7 units	35u1
	(1.7mg protein		
	Phosphate buffe	er 100mmol.1 ⁻¹	265ul
	pH 7.0		

Solution 1 was stirred continuously whilst slowly adding Solution 2 at 4° C. The mixture was stirred for 5 minutes to ensure complete mixing. 0.1ml volumes were dried in cuvettes as described, stored at 37° C and assayed for activity as described

6

(Table 1).

Example 2

Solution 1 Alcohol_oxidase 2 411 units $2.7 \, \mathrm{cm}^3$ (=422 mg protein) in phosphate buffer $300 \, \mathrm{mmol.\,I}^{-1}$

Solution 2 Lactitol 20% w/v 3.0cm³

DEAE-Dextran 10% w/v 0.27cm³

Solution 2 was added slowly to Solution 1 with stirring. The mixed solutions were pipetted into petri dishes and vacuum dried over silica gel at 30° C for 8 hours whereupon a thin glassy film of dried enzyme and stabiliser was produced. This was removed and ground to a fine powder using a glass pestle and mortar.

For stability testing 10mg portions of enzyme powder were weighed into sterile polystyrene tubes and incubated at 37° in a sealed container over silica gel. Samples were removed periodically and reconstituted in distilled water. 60ul of reconstituted enzyme solution was added to each assay cuvette containing peroxidase and colour reagents as described (Table 2).

Example 3

Solution 1	DEAE-Dextran 10% w/v	100ul
	Lactitol 20% w/v	500ul
	Byco A 1% w/v	100ul
Solution 2	Choline oxidase 10 units	
	(0.794 mg protein)	300ul
	in phosphate pH 7.0 100cm ³	

Solution 2 was added with stirring to Solution 1 and thoroughly mixed at 4° C. 0.1cm³ volumes were vacuum dried in

cuvettes as described, stored at 37°C and assayed for activity as described (Table 3).

Example 4

Glycerol 3 Phosphate Oxidase

Solution 1	DEAE-Dextran 10% w/v	100ul
	Lactitol 20% w/v	500ul
	Byco A 1% w/v	100ul
Solution 2	Glycerol 3 Phosphate Oxidase	
	10 units (0.526 mg protein)	300u1
	in phosphate buffer pH 7.0 100mmol.	1-1

Solution 2 was added with stirring to Solution 1 at 4° C and thoroughly mixed. 0.1cm^3 volumes were vacuum dried in cuvettes as described (Table 4).

Example 5

Solution 1	DEAE-Dextran 10%	100ul
	Lactose 20%	500ul
	Byco A 1%	100u1
Solution 2	Alcohol oxidase 5 units $(1.0 \text{mg protein in } 100 \text{mmol.} 1^{-1}$	300ul
	Phosphate buffer pH 7.0	

Solution 1 was stirred continuously whilst slowly adding Solution 2 at 4° C. The mixture being stirred for 5 minutes to ensure complete mixing 0.1cm^3 volumes were dried in cuvettes as described (Table 5).

Soluble Polysaccharides

Soluble polysaccharides were dissolved in distilled water up to a concentration of 30% w/v usually to a concentration of 10% w/v. These solutions were used within 4 weeks of preparation and stored at 4°C .

Example 6

Solution 1	Dextran (molecular wt. 10 000) 10% w/v	100ul
	Byco A 1% w/v	100ul
	Distilled water	500ul
Solution 2	Alcohol oxidase 7 units	
	(1.32 mg protein) in 100 mmol. 1^{-1}	300u1
	Phosphate buffer pH 7.0	

Solution 2 was added to Solution 1 with stirring at 4° C and stirring was continued for 5 minutes to ensure complete mixing. 0.1cm^3 volumes were vacuum dried in cuvettes, stored at 37° C and assayed for activity as described.

When dextrans of differing molecular weights are used variations in stability were noted (Table 6).

Exmaple 7

Solution	1	Dextran molecular wt. 10 000 10% w/v solution	500ul
		Phosphate buffer 10mmol.1 ⁻¹ pH 7.0	300ul
Solution	2	Galactose oxidase 0.52 units	
		(0.8 mg protein) in 10mmol.l^{-1}	200ul
		phosphate buffer pH 7.0	

Solution 2 was added to Solution 1 with stirring at 4° C and stirring was continued for 5 minutes to ensure complete mixing.

0.1 ml aliquots were vacuum dried, stored at 37° C and assayed for activity as described (Table 7).

Cyclic Polyalcohol

Cyclic polyalcohol was dissolved in distilled water to a concentration of 10% w/v. The solutions were stored at 4°C and used within 4 weeks of preparation.

Example 8

Solution 1	Inositol 10% w/v Distilled water	500ul 200ul
Solution 2	Alcohol oxidase 4.7 units 91.15mg/protein) in Phosphate buffer 100mmol.1-1	200ul

Solution 2 was added to Solution 1 with stirring t 4° C and stirring was continued for 5 minutes to ensure complete mixing. 0.1cm³ aliquots were vacuum dried, stored at 37° C and assayed for activity as described (Table 8).

Example 9

Solution 1	Inositol 10% w/v	500ul
	Phosphate buffer $100 \text{mmol.} 1^{-1}$	
	рН 7.0	300ú1
Solution 2	Galactose oxidase 0.52 units	
	(0.8mg protein) in 10 mmol. 1^{-1}	•
	phosphate buffer pH 7.0	200ul

Solution 2 was added to Solution 1 with stirring at 4°C and stirring was continued for 5 minutes to ensure complete mixing. 0.1cm^3 aliquots were vacuum dried as described, stored at 37°C

and assayed for activity as described (Table 9). Example 10

The following results show the stabilisation of alcohol oxidase (Hansenula polymorpha).

Unstabilised enzyme retained 26% activity after 7 days incubation at 37°C. Addition of chitosan above gave retention of 48.9% activity after 9 days. The activity in relation to freshly dried enzyme was measured after incubation at 37°C.

Stabiliser	Period of incubation /days	Activity/%
lactitol 5%	1	86.9
chitosan 0.1%	6	85.7
•	 9	82.1
•	16	86.1
		•
lactitol 5%	1	87.4
chitosan 0.01%	. 6	87.2
•	9	83.4
·	16	91.6
1		
lactitol 5%	1	79.3
polyethyleneimine 0.1%		77.5
	9	76.1
	16	77.5

4	4	
1	1	
	•	

lactitol 5%	1	91.1
Polyethyleneimine 0.01%	6	84.4
•	9	96.1
·	16	93.1
lactitol 5%	1	94.9
DEAE-Dextran 0.1%	6	85.1
	9	- 88.7
	16	90.6
lactitol 5%	1	98.3
DEAE-Dextran 0.01%	6	88.8
	9	89.4
	16	95.9

Example 11

The following results show stabilisation of alcohol oxidase (Pichia pastoris). Unstabilised enzyme retained 49.8% and 36.1% activities after 2 days and 13 days respectively at 37° C. Enhanced activity (ie greater than 1-%) upon drying may be attributable to selective degradation of inhibiting impurities.

Stabiliser	Period of incubation days	Activity/%
lactitol 5% DEAE-Dextran 1%	1 4	102.5 116.6

VO 90/05182	12	PCT/GB89/01346
	8	121.3
	15	104.3
dextran 5%	1	83.2
	4	97.0
	8	101.7
	15	87.6
inositol 5%	1	88.0
	. 4	106.2
	8	107.1
	15	109.1

Example 12

The following results illustrate stabilisation of cholesterol oxidase (Nocardia erythropolis). Unstabilised enzyme retained 34.3% activity after 14 days at 37° C.

Stabiliser	Period of incubation days	Activity/%
lactitol 5%	3	96.2
DEAE-dextran 1%	5	105.6
	14	115.7
inositol 5%	1 .	92.6
	7	84.8
	10	91.7

Example 13

The following results illustrate stabilisation of freeze dried uricase.

1	109.9
5	114.3
10	109.9
	_

Example 14

The following results illustrate stabilisation of various enzymes with lactitol (15%) and DEAE-dextran (1%) during drying in comprarison to the activity of undried enzymes.

Enzyme	Activity after	Activity after drying/%		
	Unstabilised	Stabilised		
Alcohol oxidase				
(Pichia)	64.7	78.2		
Choline oxidase	63.3	97.7		
Lactate oxidase	77.1	90.0		
Alcohol oxidase				
(Hensenula				
polymorpha)	68.2	119.6		
Cholesterol	_			
oxidase	-			
(vacuum dried)	80.0	92.5		
		(inositol 5%)		
(freeze dried)	79.0	91.0		
		(inositol 5%)		

TABLE 1

Preparation	Incubation 37°C	% Activity remaining relative to activity freshly dried enzyme
Alcohol oxidase +	l day	108
Lactitol 10% DEAE-Dextran 1%	7 days	120
	14 days	114
	21 days	106
	5 months	102

Unstabilised Enzyme retained 26% activity after 7 days

TABLE 2

Bulk preparation	Incubation 37°C	% Activity retained relative to freshly dried enzyme
DEAE-Dextran 1% Lactitol 10%	4 days	138
Alcohol oxidase 7.25 units/10mg solid	12 days	121

Unstabilised Enzyme retained 34% activity after 4 days.

TABLE 3

Preparation	Incubation 37°C	% Activity remaining relative to freshly dried enzyme
Choline oxidase	l day	99 .
DEAE-Dextran 1%	5 days	84
Lactitol 10%	. 10 days	81
	15 days	83

Unstabilised Enzyme retained 24% of activity after 1 day, decreasing to 11% after 5 days.

TABLE 4

Preparation	Incubation 37°C	% Activity remaining relative to freshly dried enzyme
Glycerol 3 phosphate oxidase	l days 5 days	104 120
DEAE-Dextran 1% Lactitol 10%	10 days 15 days	117 113

Unstabilised enzyme retained 94% activity after 1 day but only retained 54% activity after 15 days.

TABLE 5

Preparation	Incubation 37°C	% Activity remaining relative to freshly dried enzyme
Alcohol oxidase	l day	137
DEAÉ-Dextran 1% wt/vol	6 days	103
Lactose 10% wt/vol	10 days	108
	15 days	92

Unstabilised enzyme retained 23% of activity after 10 days at 37°C.

TABLE 6

Preparation	% Activi	% Activity remaining (relative to freshly dried enzyme)		
Alcohol oxidase + Dextran 1% wt/vol	1 day 37°C	6 days 37°C	18 days 37°C	11 months 37°C
M Wt.				
T10 10 000	93	87 .	73	77
T40 40 000	82	75	61	64
T70 70 000	84	86	65	60
T500 500 000	83	86	62	49
T2000 2 000 000	45	43	22	14

Unstabilised enzyme retained 30% of activity after 6 days.

18

TABLE 7

Galactose oxidase

Dextran concentrations 5% (M.W 10 000).

Incubation 37°C	% Activity remaining relative to activity of freshly dried enzyme.		
l day	92%		
7 days	87%		
10 days	82%		
10 days	82%		

TABLE 8

Preparation	Incubation 37°C	% Activity remaining relative to freshly dried enzyme
Alcohol oxidase +	1 Day	150%
5% Inositol	7 days	196%
	14 days	166%
	23 days	178%

Unstabilised enzyme retained 26% activity after 7 days.

TABLE 9

Preparation	Incubation 37°C '	% Activity remaining relative to freshly dried enzyme
Galactose oxidase +	l day	85%
5% Inositol	4 days	78 % ·
	10 days	74%

CLAIMS

1. A method of protecting proteins against denaturation on drying comprising the steps of:

mixing an aqeuous solution of the protein with a soluble cationic polyelectrolyte and a cyclic polyol, and

removing water from the solution.

- 2. A method as claimed in Claim 1, wherein said polyelectolyte comprises a quaternary ammonium functionalised polysaccharide.
- 3. A method as claimed in Claim 2, wherein said polyelectrolyte comprises diethylamminoethyl-dextran or chitosan.
- 4. A method as claimed in Claim 1, wherein the polyelectrolyte comprises polyethyleneimine.
- 5. A method as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein the polyol is a di or trisaccaride.
- 6. A method as claimed in Claim 5 wherein the polyol is selected from the group comprising: lactitol, lactose, maltose sucrose and cellobiose.
- 7. A method as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein water is removed at a temperature between 4° and 50°C .
- 8. A method as claimed in Claim 7, wherein the temperature is 25° to 35° C.
- 9. A dried product containing a protein, cyclic polyol and a cationi polyelectrolyte.
- 10. A dried product as claimed in Claim 9 containing an enzyme, cyclic polyol and quaternary ammionium functionalised polymeric polysaccharide.
- 11. A dried product as claimed in Claim 10, containing an enzyme, cyclic polyol and a polyethyleneimine.
- 12. A dried product as claimed in any of Claims 9 to 11, wherein the polyol is a di or trisaccharide.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/GB 89/01346

I. CLASS	SIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER (if several class	sification symbols apply, indicate all) 6	
_	to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both N	ational Classification and IPC	
IPC ⁵ :	C 12 N 9/96		
II. FIELDS	SEARCHED	•	
		entation Searched ?	
Classificatio	on System	Classification Symbols	
IPC ⁵	C 12 N		_ ·
		r than Minimum Documentation is are included in the Fields Searched ^a	-
		-,	•
III. DOCU	MENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category •	Citation of Document, 11 with Indication, where ap	propriets, of the relevant passages 12	Relevant to Claim No. 13
A	Chemical Abstracts, vol. 16 October 1978, (Co see page 404, abstract & SU, A, 615933 (LEN SCIENTIFIC-RESEARCH HEMATOLOGY AND BLOOD 25 July 1978	lumbus, Ohio, US), ct 135880v INGRAD INSTITUTE OF	1
A	FR, A, 2209776 (AJINOMOTO see the whole document	- D) 5 July 1974, nt	1
A	EP, A, 0136996 (MONSANTO 10 April 1985, see the whole documer	•	4
"A" docur consi "E" sartie filing "L" docur which crtatic	categories of cited documents: 16 ment defining the general state of the art which is not dered to be of particular relevance of document but published on or after the international date ment which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publication date of another on or other special reason (as specified) ment referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means	"T" later document published after the or priority date and not in conflicted to understand the principle invention. "X" document of particular relevant cannot be considered novel or involve an inventive stap. "V" document of particular relevant cannot be considered to involve a document is combined with one ments, such combination being of	at with the application but or theory underlying the e; the claimed invention cannot be considered to e; the claimed invention in inventive step when the of more other such docu-
"P" docur	ment published prior to the international filing date but than the priority date claimed	ments, such combination being of in the art. "A" document member of the same p	•
	Actual Completion of the International Search	Date of Mailing of this International Ser	rch Report
	h February 1990	2 9. 03.	• • •
International	Searching Authority	Signature of Authorized Officer	
;	EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE		T.K. WILDS

ANNEX TO THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT ON INTERNATIONAL PATENT APPLICATION NO.

GB 8901346

SA 32768

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on 20/03/90

The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date 02-08-74 27-06-74 08-10-75 13-04-76
FR-A- 2209776	05-07-74 	JP-A,B,C49080284 DE-A- 2361170 GB-A- 1408990 US-A- 3950223	
EP-A- 0136996	10-04-85	US-A- 4562151 CA-A- 1215012 JP-A- 60156397	31-12-85 09-12-86 16 - 08-85

PORM PORTS

E For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82